# CRIMES AGAINST HUMANITY AND THE TEACHINGS OF JESUS CHRIST:

## A Historical and Doctrinal Examination of the Roman Catholic Church

---

*Fourth Century CE Through the Present Day*

*With Comparative Analysis of Pre-Nicene Christianity*

Primary Sources · Patristic Testimony · Historical Record

2026

# Table of Contents

# Preface: Scope and Method

This paper undertakes a systematic historical and theological examination of the Roman Catholic Church from the Constantinian settlement of the fourth century CE through the present day. Its central argument is two-fold: first, that numerous official acts, doctrines, and institutional practices of the Roman Catholic Church constitute grave violations of elementary human rights recognizable as crimes against humanity under any coherent moral framework; second, that many of these same acts and doctrines stand in explicit contradiction to the teachings of Jesus of Nazareth as recorded in the canonical Gospels and to the ecclesiastical norms observed by pre-Nicene Christianity.

This is not an anti-religious polemic. The historical record of Christian faith prior to the Nicene Council of 325 CE presents a markedly different picture from the institution examined here: communities defined by voluntary membership, pacifism, communal charity, rejection of coercive power, and a theology that distinguished sharply between the Kingdom of God and the kingdoms of this world. The comparison between that tradition and the post-Constantinian Roman Church is indispensable to the argument.

Primary sources take priority throughout. Quotations are drawn from patristic writings, conciliar canons, papal bulls, inquisitorial manuals, correspondence, and canonical law. Secondary scholarship is cited for historical reconstruction where primary sources are fragmentary. The reader is encouraged to consult the original texts.

# Part One: The Pre-Nicene Baseline — What Early Christianity Was

## 1.1 The Jesus of the Gospels: Core Ethical Teaching

Any assessment of whether the Roman Catholic Church has departed from the teachings of Jesus must begin with those teachings themselves. The canonical Gospels, whatever one's theological commitments regarding their inspiration, provide the primary evidentiary record.

Jesus explicitly forbade the use of coercive power to enforce religious commitment or to punish non-believers. When the disciples James and John proposed calling down fire on a Samaritan village that had refused to receive Jesus, the Lukan account records:

> *But he turned and rebuked them. And they went to another village. (Luke 9:55–56, ESV)*

The Matthean Sermon on the Mount prescribes behavior fundamentally incompatible with institutional coercion: "You have heard that it was said, 'An eye for an eye and a tooth for a tooth.' But I say to you, Do not resist the one who is evil. But if anyone slaps you on the right cheek, turn to him the other also" (Matthew 5:38–39). The command to "love your enemies and pray for those who persecute you" (Matthew 5:44) admits no institutional exception for heretics, Jews, or pagans.

On the accumulation of wealth and institutional power, Jesus was equally unambiguous: "No one can serve two masters... You cannot serve God and money" (Matthew 6:24). The parable of the rich man and Lazarus (Luke 16:19–31), the instruction to the rich young ruler (Matthew 19:21), and the Temple cleansing episode (Mark 11:15–17) all portray wealth-as-power as antithetical to the kingdom of God.

On religious authority and hierarchy, Jesus explicitly condemned the pattern that would come to define Roman Catholic ecclesiology: "You know that the rulers of the Gentiles lord it over them, and their great ones exercise authority over them. It shall not be so among you. But whoever would be great among you must be your servant" (Matthew 20:25–26). The condemnation of the scribes and Pharisees in Matthew 23 catalogues the specific abuses — honorific titles, public prominence, wealth extraction from the poor — that Jesus identified as the corruption of religious leadership.

## 1.2 Pre-Nicene Church Practice: A Different Institution

The historical church before Constantine's Edict of Milan (313 CE) and the Council of Nicaea (325 CE) was structurally, theologically, and ethically distinguishable from what followed. Key characteristics of pre-Nicene Christianity include:

- Voluntary membership with no civil enforcement. Tertullian of Carthage (c. 155–220 CE) articulated what was then a universal Christian position: "It is a

fundamental human right, a privilege of nature, that every man should worship according to his own convictions: one man's religion neither harms nor helps another man. It is assuredly no part of religion to compel religion — to which free-will and not force should lead us." (Tertullian, Ad Scapulam, 2.1–2)

- Non-violence and rejection of military service. Origen of Alexandria (c. 185–253 CE): "We do not enlist in the army under [the emperor], even if he presses us to do so." (Origen, Contra Celsum, 8.73). Tertullian similarly argued that Christian discipleship was incompatible with military service in De Corona Militis.
- Communal sharing rather than institutional wealth. The Didache (c. 50–150 CE), one of the earliest extant church order documents, describes communities in which surplus was redistributed to the poor. The book of Acts describes voluntary property sharing (Acts 2:44–45; 4:32–35).
- No political entanglement. Justin Martyr (c. 100–165 CE) argued that Christians were model citizens precisely because they were governed by a higher law, but explicitly denied any aspiration to political power. (Justin Martyr, First Apology, 17)
- Decentralized episcopal structure. Ignatius of Antioch's letters (c. 107 CE) reflect a local episcopal authority with no hint of Roman primacy. Cyprian of Carthage (c. 200–258 CE) argued vigorously that each bishop was equal within his own see, and opposed what he saw as Roman episcopal overreach. (Cyprian, Epistula 67; De Unitate Ecclesiae)

## 1.3 The Constantinian Rupture

The alliance between Constantine I and the Catholic episcopate after 312 CE inaugurated a structural transformation of Christianity that the pre-Nicene fathers would not have recognized as legitimate. Constantine summoned the Council of Nicaea in 325 CE, presided over it as a secular authority, and thereafter enforced its decisions with imperial power — a pattern that reversed the pre-Nicene relationship between faith and coercive government.

Eusebius of Caesarea, the primary contemporary historian of this transition, writes with unmistakable enthusiasm about Constantine's role: "One might now justly say that the mystery of that truth which even lately was preached only in remote corners of the earth has... shone upon all men alike." (Eusebius, Historia Ecclesiastica, 10.4). This

enthusiasm marked the beginning of a centuries-long confusion of imperial and ecclesiastical power that produced the abuses documented in the sections that follow.

The theologian John Howard Yoder, summarizing a broad scholarly consensus, described the Constantinian shift as the moment when "the church ceased to be an alternative society and became the ideology of a given society." (Yoder, The Original Revolution, 1971, p. 63). The evidence for this assessment is extensive and primary.

# Part Two: The Medieval Inquisitions — Systematic Torture and Murder in the Name of Christ

## 2.1 Doctrinal and Legal Foundations

The Inquisition was not an informal persecution but a formally constituted judicial system operating under explicit papal authority. Its legal and theological foundations must be examined before its practices are described.

Pope Lucius III, in the decretal Ad abolendam (1184 CE), established the first systematic framework for the identification and punishment of heresy by ecclesiastical courts, requiring bishops to conduct annual inquisitions within their dioceses. Pope Gregory IX formalized the institution as a papally appointed judicial body in 1231 CE, with the bull Excommunicamus, which prescribed burning at the stake for relapsed heretics — those who returned to condemned beliefs after recanting.

The papal bull Ad extirpanda, issued by Pope Innocent IV in 1252 CE, took the decisive further step of authorizing torture as a legitimate instrument of inquisitorial investigation. The relevant text reads:

> *[The podestà or rector] shall force all heretics whom he has in custody... to confess their errors and to accuse other heretics whom they know, and to reveal their goods and those of their accomplices... by torture which will not endanger life or injure limb, just as thieves and robbers of temporalities are made to accuse their accomplices and confess their crimes.*
>
> — Innocent IV, Ad extirpanda, 1252 CE. Henry Charles Lea, A History of the Inquisition of the Middle Ages (New York: Harper, 1888), Vol. 1, pp. 537–538.

The comparison to treatment of common criminals is significant: the Church did not claim special sacred authority for torture. It simply adopted the secular practice without theological justification, citing juridical necessity. The explicit authorization of torture by the Vicar of Christ — the institutional heir of the one who said "Blessed are the merciful" (Matthew 5:7) — constitutes one of the starkest documented contradictions between Catholic institutional practice and the Gospel record.

## 2.2 The Medieval Inquisition in Practice

The procedures of the medieval Inquisition are documented in its own operational manuals. Bernard Gui's Practica Inquisitionis Heretice Pravitatis (c. 1307–1323 CE) and the Directorium Inquisitorum of Nicholas Eymerich (1376 CE) describe in detail the methods employed. These were not the accounts of critics but the self-documentation of inquisitors.

Gui describes the psychological manipulation employed against accused heretics: prolonged imprisonment before questioning; the use of informants placed among prisoners; the strategic use of partial information to induce full confessions; and the deliberate denial of legal representation. "The inquisitor must not reveal the names of witnesses, since revealing them would expose them to risk." (Gui, Practica, Part V, quoted in Walter Wakefield, Heresy, Crusade and Inquisition in Southern France, 1974, p. 228.)

Victims of the Inquisition were subjected to instruments including the strappado (suspension by bound arms wrenched behind the back), the rack, and various compression devices. Death under torture was officially prohibited but frequently occurred. Those who confessed were typically sentenced to loss of property, public humiliation, or imprisonment — conditions that could be and often were fatal. Those who refused to recant or who relapsed were handed to secular authorities for burning, a legal fiction that allowed the Church to claim it shed no blood.

The scale of the medieval Inquisition resists precise quantification but is not insignificant. Historian Henry Charles Lea, whose three-volume History of the Inquisition of the Middle Ages (1888) remains the foundational English-language study, documented thousands of executions in France, Aragon, and Italy across the thirteenth and fourteenth centuries. The Albigensian Crusade (1209–1229), conducted simultaneously with the early Inquisition against the Cathar communities of southern France, resulted in what contemporaries described as the massacre of entire towns. The Cistercian monk Caesar of Heisterbach recorded the papal legate Arnaud Amalric's response when asked how soldiers should distinguish Cathars from Catholics at the sack of Béziers: "Kill them all; God will know his own." (Caesar of Heisterbach, Dialogus Miraculorum, c. 1220, Dist. V, cap. 21.)

The theological contradiction with Jesus's teaching requires no elaborate argument. The one who said "the Son of Man did not come to destroy lives but to save them" (Luke 9:56) is invoked as the ultimate authority of an institution burning dissidents alive.

## 2.3 The Spanish Inquisition: State-Church Terror

The Spanish Inquisition, established by papal bull (Exigit sincerae devotionis, Sixtus IV, 1478 CE) at the request of Ferdinand and Isabella, represents a distinct and more thoroughly documented phase of inquisitorial activity. Its primary initial targets were conversos — Jews and Muslims who had converted to Christianity under duress but were suspected of secretly maintaining their ancestral faiths.

The Spanish Inquisition's first Inquisitor General, Tomás de Torquemada, presided over a regime documented in the Inquisition's own surviving records. The historian Henry Charles Lea, relying on contemporary chronicles, estimated that during Torquemada's tenure (1483–1498) approximately 2,000 persons were burned in person, while thousands more were burned in effigy or subjected to lesser punishments. More recent scholarship, utilizing the surviving trial records, places execution numbers somewhat lower but acknowledges the profound disruption to entire communities.

The limpieza de sangre (purity of blood) statutes, enforced by inquisitorial proceedings, constituted a systematic ethnic and religious discrimination against people of Jewish or Moorish ancestry regardless of their Christian practice. These statutes — which the institutional Church endorsed, regulated, and enforced — represent what would today be classified as racialized persecution: the targeting of persons not for belief but for ancestry.

The Edict of Expulsion of 1492, which the Spanish Crown issued with ecclesiastical support, forced an estimated 100,000–200,000 Jews to choose between conversion and exile — the abandonment of their homes, property, and communities — on the basis of religious identity alone. This constitutes by any reasonable modern standard a crime against humanity.

## 2.4 The Roman Inquisition and Galileo: Suppression of Science

The Roman Inquisition, established by Pope Paul III in 1542 CE, brought Nicolaus Copernicus's De Revolutionibus Orbium Coelestium (1543) under suspicion and

ultimately placed it on the Index Librorum Prohibitorum in 1616. Galileo Galilei was summoned before the Inquisition in 1633 for promoting the heliocentric model and was compelled to abjure his scientific conclusions under threat of torture:

> *I, Galileo, son of the late Vincenzio Galilei of Florence... swear that I have always believed, I believe now, and with God's help I will in future believe all that is held, preached and taught by the Holy Catholic and Apostolic Church.*
>
> — Galileo's Abjuration, June 22, 1633. Maurice Finocchiaro, The Galileo Affair: A Documentary History (Berkeley: University of California Press, 1989), p. 292.

Galileo spent the remainder of his life under house arrest. The institutional suppression of heliocentrism was not an aberration but an expression of the Church's consistent claim to authority over all domains of human inquiry — a claim antithetical to reason and demonstrably false, as the subsequent history of science confirmed.

# Part Three: The Crusades — Holy War as Crime

## 3.1 Theological Justification and Its Contradictions

The Crusades, a series of military campaigns sanctioned by papal authority from 1095 CE through the fifteenth century and beyond, represent perhaps the most dramatic departure from the non-violent ethic of early Christianity. Their official justification requires examination because it explicitly invoked Christian doctrine to authorize mass killing.

Pope Urban II's address at Clermont (November 27, 1095 CE) — the sermon that launched the First Crusade — survives in several versions, the most detailed being that of Robert of Rheims (Historia Hierosolymitana, c. 1107). Urban's arguments included: recovery of the Holy Land from Muslim control; defense of Eastern Christians; and the grant of plenary indulgence — complete remission of sins — to those who undertook the journey. He concluded with the phrase that became the crusading slogan: "God wills it" (Deus le volt / Deus vult).

The theological problems are profound. Jesus's explicit teaching on violence (Matthew 5:38–45), his refusal of political-military power (John 6:15; Matthew 4:8–10), and his instruction to Peter — "Put your sword back into its place. For all who take the sword will perish by the sword" (Matthew 26:52) — leave no textual space for holy war. The pre-Nicene church had recognized this: no credible scholar has identified a single pre-Constantinian theologian who endorsed Christian participation in offensive warfare.

The just war tradition that Urban deployed had been developed by Augustine of Hippo (354–430 CE) in a post-Constantinian context and represented precisely the kind of accommodation to imperial power that Tertullian and Origen had refused. Augustine himself expressed deep ambivalence about warfare; the Crusaders' confident certainty that killing Muslims constituted a meritorious act had no Augustinian foundation.

## 3.2 The First Crusade: Massacre and Conquest

The First Crusade (1095–1099 CE) culminated in the capture of Jerusalem on July 15, 1099. The massacre that followed is documented by both crusader chroniclers and Muslim sources. The Gesta Francorum, a near-contemporary crusader account, describes the slaughter with apparent pride:

> *Our men rushed around the whole city, seizing gold and silver, horses and mules, and houses full of all sorts of goods, and they all came rejoicing and weeping from excess of gladness to worship at the Sepulchre of our Saviour Jesus.*
>
> — Gesta Francorum et Aliorum Hierosolimitanorum, c. 1100–1101 CE, Trans. Rosalind Hill (London: Thomas Nelson, 1962), p. 91.

Raymond of Aguilers, chaplain to Raymond of Toulouse, described the carnage in the Temple compound: "In the Temple and porch of Solomon, men rode in blood up to their knees and bridle reins." (Raymond of Aguilers, Historia Francorum Qui Ceperunt Iherusalem, c. 1102, in August Krey, The First Crusade, 1921, p. 261.) Muslim chroniclers describe the systematic killing of the city's Jewish population in their synagogue, burned alive.

This was not unauthorized violence by rogue elements. The campaign was initiated by the Pope, blessed by the Church, and its participants were promised spiritual rewards. The killing was done in the name of the one who said, "Blessed are the peacemakers, for they shall be called sons of God" (Matthew 5:9).

## 3.3 The Children's Crusade and Crusading Decadence

The Children's Crusade of 1212 CE illustrates the degree to which the crusading impulse had become detached from any rational or ethical framework. Convinced by popular preachers that divine favor would open the sea before them, tens of thousands of young people from France and Germany converged on Mediterranean ports. The French contingent, according to the chronicle of Alberic of Troisfontaines, was ultimately loaded onto ships by merchants who sold many of them into slavery in North Africa. (Alberic of Troisfontaines, Chronica, c. 1240, MGH SS 23, pp. 893–894.)

No pope or bishop halted the Children's Crusade. The institutional Church that had created the crusading ideology watched young people march to their enslavement without authoritative intervention. The moral failure is documented by the institution's own chroniclers.

## 3.4 The Fourth Crusade: Sacking a Christian City

The Fourth Crusade (1202–1204 CE) ended not in Jerusalem but in Constantinople, the capital of the Byzantine Empire — a Christian city. Diverted by debt to Venice and political

opportunism, the crusading army sacked Constantinople in April 1204, slaughtering Greek Orthodox Christians, looting churches, and establishing a Latin Empire on the ruins of the Byzantine state.

Pope Innocent III, who had initially condemned the diversion, ultimately accepted the conquest as providential. His letter of 1204 to the new Latin Emperor Baldwin describes the sack as "divine retribution" against the Greek church for its schism. This acceptance by the papacy of an attack on fellow Christians — whose deaths and displacement were recast as divine judgment — represents a moral inversion of extraordinary magnitude.

Niketas Choniates, a Byzantine official who survived the sack, wrote: "They snatched the precious reliquaries... hurled the holy images to the ground... and trampled them underfoot... A common harlot was enthroned in the seat of the Patriarch." (Niketas Choniates, Historia, c. 1215, trans. Harry Magoulias, O City of Byzantium, 1984, p. 314.)

# Part Four: Antisemitism as Church Policy

## 4.1 Systematic Legal Persecution of Jews

The Roman Catholic Church's treatment of Jewish communities across Europe constitutes one of the most thoroughly documented patterns of institutional discrimination and persecution in Western history. It was not incidental to Catholic doctrine but encoded in its canon law and enforced by its hierarchical authority.

The Fourth Lateran Council of 1215 CE — the most important general council of the medieval Church, convened by Innocent III — enacted legislation requiring Jews to wear distinguishing clothing (Canon 68), prohibiting Jews from holding public office (Canon 69), and restricting Jewish financial activities. These provisions did not arise from spontaneous popular prejudice; they were formal ecclesiastical law, deliberately formulated and promulgated.

Canon 68 of Lateran IV reads in part: "In several provinces a difference in dress distinguishes the Jews or Saracens from the Christians, but in certain others such a confusion has grown up that they cannot be distinguished by any difference. Thus it happens at times that through error Christians have relations with the women of Jews or Saracens... We decree that such Jews and Saracens of both sexes in every Christian province and at all times shall be marked off in the eyes of the public from other peoples through the character of their dress."

> — Fourth Lateran Council, Canon 68, 1215 CE. In H.J. Schroeder, O.P., Disciplinary Decrees of the General Councils (St. Louis: Herder, 1937), p. 290.

The yellow badge thus originated as a Catholic canonical requirement, not a Nazi innovation. The National Socialist regime's adoption of the yellow star in 1941 was the direct historical descendant of Lateran IV — a genealogy that modern historians have documented with precision.

## 4.2 Blood Libel, Pogrom, and Papal Silence

The blood libel — the false accusation that Jews murdered Christian children to use their blood in Passover rituals — was a recurrent cause of pogroms across medieval Europe. While individual popes occasionally issued protective documents (Innocent IV's bull

Lacrimabilem Judaeorum in 1247 CE refuted the blood libel), the institutional Church as a whole was complicit in the atmosphere that produced these massacres. The Rhineland massacres of 1096, accompanying the First Crusade, killed thousands of Jewish men, women, and children in Speyer, Worms, Mainz, and Cologne. The chronicler Solomon bar Simson, writing from within the Jewish community, describes what the crusaders said to their Jewish victims: "Now, therefore, render homage to our deity and we will spare you; otherwise we will destroy you and put you to death." (Solomon bar Simson, Chronicle of the First Crusade, c. 1096–1140, trans. Shlomo Eidelberg, The Jews and the Crusaders, 1977, p. 21.)

The Franciscan and Dominican preaching orders, formally commissioned by the papacy, regularly conducted missionary campaigns targeting Jewish communities that created the social conditions for violence. The expulsions of Jews from England (1290), France (1306 and 1394), and ultimately Spain (1492) — each with varying degrees of ecclesiastical support or initiation — collectively displaced hundreds of thousands of people from their homes on the basis of religious identity.

## 4.3 The Holocaust and Pius XII

The most acute modern instance of the Catholic Church's failure toward the Jewish community is the conduct of Pope Pius XII (Eugenio Pacelli, pontificate 1939–1958) during the Holocaust. This is also the most contested historical question in this paper, and the evidence requires careful presentation.

The historical record establishes beyond reasonable dispute that Pius XII possessed detailed knowledge of the systematic murder of Jews in occupied Europe. In 1942, the Slovak, Polish, and German Catholic hierarchies communicated information about the extermination program to the Vatican. The German ambassador to the Holy See, Ernst von Weizsäcker, reported in October 1943 that the Pope had been pressured to speak out about the deportation of Roman Jews and had declined.

Pius XII's Christmas radio address of 1942 contained an oblique reference to those "who without any fault on their part, sometimes only because of their nationality or race, have been consigned to death or to a slow decline." This was the extent of his public response

to the murder of millions. He issued no encyclical, no formal condemnation, no call for Catholics to resist deportations.

The argument in Pius XII's defense — that public condemnation would have provoked retaliation and worsened the situation — is a serious one advanced by serious historians. Against it stands the documented behavior of other Catholic prelates: Archbishop Jules-Gérard Saliège of Toulouse, who issued a pastoral letter in August 1942 denouncing the deportations of Jews as violations of natural law; and Bishop Pierre-Marie Théas of Montauban, who did the same. The German occupation did not shoot either bishop. The Pope's silence was therefore a choice, not an inevitability.

The Vatican's partial opening of its Pius XII archives in 2020 has allowed more detailed scholarly examination. David Kertzer's The Pope at War (2022), utilizing these newly available documents, presents extensive evidence that Pius XII prioritized institutional and geopolitical interests over the rescue of Jews, including evidence of Vatican awareness of the extermination program far earlier than previously established.

# Part Five: The Doctrine of Papal Supremacy — An Invented Authority

## 5.1 The Claim and Its Origins

The Roman Catholic Church's claim to universal jurisdiction — the doctrine that the Pope possesses supreme, universal, and immediate authority over all Christians and all Christian churches — is central to its institutional identity. This claim has no foundation in the pre-Nicene documentary record and was constructed gradually through a combination of genuine theological development, selective use of texts, and deliberate forgery.

The primary scriptural basis for papal primacy is Matthew 16:18–19: "You are Peter, and on this rock I will build my church... I will give you the keys of the kingdom of heaven." The Roman interpretation of this passage — that it constitutes a perpetual, transferable grant of universal jurisdiction to the bishop of Rome — was contested by the pre-Nicene church and explicitly rejected by multiple significant figures of the patristic era.

Cyprian of Carthage (d. 258 CE) argued in De Unitate Ecclesiae that the episcopate was a single whole in which each bishop held a portion equal to every other: "The episcopate is one, each part of which is held by each one for the whole." (Cyprian, De Unitate Ecclesiae, 5.) Cyprian directly opposed the Roman bishop Stephen I on the question of rebaptism, denying that Rome had the authority to impose its practice on other churches. This dispute — the first recorded instance of a bishop of Rome claiming the authority that would become papal supremacy — ended not in Cyprian's submission but in a stalemate.

## 5.2 The Donation of Constantine: A Documented Forgery

The most important single document in the construction of papal temporal power is the Donatio Constantini — the Donation of Constantine. This document, purportedly issued by Constantine I, granted the Pope temporal sovereignty over Rome, Italy, and the entire western Roman Empire, as well as supremacy over all other Christian patriarchates.

The Donation was exposed as a forgery by the humanist scholar Lorenzo Valla in his De Falso Credita et Ementita Constantini Donatione (1440 CE), using philological analysis that demonstrated the document's Latin was anachronistic — it contained vocabulary and grammatical constructions that did not exist in fourth-century Latin. Valla's analysis has

been confirmed by all subsequent scholarship. The Donation was composed in the papal chancery in the mid-to-late eighth century, most likely between 750 and 800 CE.

The Donation was used to justify papal territorial claims, papal involvement in the appointment of secular rulers, and the doctrine of plenitudo potestatis — the fullness of papal power — throughout the medieval period. Gregory VII's dictatus papae (1075 CE) asserted, among other things, that the pope alone could call universal councils, that papal legates outranked all bishops, that the pope could depose emperors, and that a papal legate of lower rank precedes all bishops. These claims rested in part on a forged document. The institutional Church built a coercive global power structure on a fraud.

## 5.3 The False Decretals

The Pseudo-Isidorian Decretals, a collection of forged papal letters and conciliar canons assembled in the mid-ninth century in Frankish territory, provided additional documentary support for Roman supremacy. These forgeries — attributed to popes of the first through fourth centuries — were used to resist episcopal subordination to secular rulers and to strengthen the hierarchy of Rome over local bishops.

The forgeries were exposed by Protestant scholars in the sixteenth century and confirmed by Catholic scholarship in the nineteenth. The Catholic Encyclopedia (1913) acknowledges: "That the False Decretals are a forgery is today admitted by all scholars." The knowledge that these documents were forgeries did not prevent them from structuring Catholic canon law, political theology, and the claims of the papacy for centuries. Their exposure did not prompt an institutional reconsideration of the authority claims built upon them.

## 5.4 The First Vatican Council: Defining Infallibility

The First Vatican Council (1869–1870 CE) defined the dogma of papal infallibility: that when the Pope speaks ex cathedra — formally defining doctrine on faith or morals — he is preserved from error by divine assistance. This dogma, Pastor Aeternus, declared:

> *Therefore, faithfully adhering to the tradition received from the beginning of the Christian faith... we teach and define as a divinely revealed dogma that when the Roman Pontiff speaks EX CATHEDRA, that is, when, in the exercise of his office*

> *as shepherd and teacher of all Christians, in virtue of his supreme apostolic authority, he defines a doctrine concerning faith or morals to be held by the whole Church, he possesses... that infallibility which the divine Redeemer willed his Church to enjoy in defining doctrine concerning faith or morals.*
>
> — First Vatican Council, Pastor Aeternus, Chapter IV, 1870 CE.

This dogma has no foundation in pre-Nicene Christianity. No council, bishop, or theologian of the first three centuries claimed that any single bishop was preserved from doctrinal error by divine assistance. The claim was new in 1870 and was passed over the objections of a significant minority of the assembled bishops — who left Rome before the vote to avoid formally voting against it. Historically, several popes were condemned by subsequent councils for heresy, most famously Honorius I, who was anathematized by the Third Council of Constantinople (681 CE) for his monothelite sympathies — a direct historical counterexample to the infallibility claim.

# Part Six: The Doctrine of Purgatory, Indulgences, and the Sale of Grace

## 6.1 Purgatory: A Doctrinal Invention

The Catholic doctrine of purgatory — a state of post-mortem purification in which souls atone for temporal punishment due to forgiven sins before entering heaven — has no foundation in pre-Nicene Christianity and appears only gradually in the theological tradition.

The word purgatorium does not appear in Christian literature until the late twelfth century; the concept was formally defined as dogma at the Second Council of Lyon (1274 CE) and reaffirmed at the Council of Florence (1439 CE) and Trent (1563 CE). The primary scriptural texts invoked in its support — 2 Maccabees 12:44–45 and 1 Corinthians 3:15 — are exegetically contested; the passage in Maccabees is not in the Hebrew Bible (it is deuterocanonical), and 1 Corinthians 3:15 speaks of a builder whose work is consumed by fire while he himself is saved, a passage most naturally read as a metaphor.

The patristic evidence is mixed and inconsistent. Tertullian mentions prayer for the dead but does not articulate a systematic purgatorial doctrine. Origen posited an eschatological purification but in the context of universal salvation — a position itself condemned as heretical. The systematic doctrine as defined by Trent goes far beyond anything recoverable in pre-Nicene sources.

## 6.2 The Indulgence System: Selling Heaven

The theology of indulgences — partial or plenary remissions of temporal punishment due to sin, granted by the Church through its treasury of merits — was the direct practical consequence of purgatorial doctrine and the institutional catalyst of the Protestant Reformation.

The sale of indulgences — the granting of spiritual benefits in exchange for monetary contributions — was endemic to late medieval Catholic practice. Johann Tetzel, the Dominican friar whose indulgence sales in Saxony prompted Martin Luther's 95 Theses (1517 CE), reportedly employed the rhyme: "As soon as a coin in the coffer rings, a soul from purgatory springs." (Heinrich Böhmer, Road to Reformation, 1946, p. 156.) This

formulation, whatever its precise origin, accurately represented the popular understanding of what indulgences accomplished and how they were marketed.

The theological and moral problem is direct. The doctrine asserts that the Pope, as steward of the Church's treasury of merit, can remit punishment that God has ordained — and that this remission can be obtained for money. This is precisely the transaction Jesus drove from the Temple: the commercialization of access to God. It is also precisely what Simon the Sorcerer proposed to Peter in Acts 8:18–20, an offer Peter refused with the words, "May your silver perish with you, because you thought you could obtain the gift of God with money." The offense is so named in Christian tradition: simony.

## 6.3 The Council of Trent: Defending the Indefensible

The Council of Trent (1545–1563 CE), the Catholic Church's response to the Protestant Reformation, reaffirmed the doctrine of indulgences while formally condemning abuses in their sale. Session XXV (1563 CE) declared: "The holy council teaches and commands that the usage of Indulgences, for the Christian people most salutary and approved of by the authority of holy Councils, is to be retained in the Church; and it condemns with anathema those who either assert, that they are useless; or who deny that there is in the Church the power of granting them."

The Council thus drew the perimeter of orthodoxy: denial that the Church had power to grant indulgences was now a damnable offense. This anathema — the formal ecclesiastical curse — was pronounced against those who questioned a practice that had no pre-Nicene precedent and that scripture explicitly condemned in its commercialized form. The Council's response to centuries of theological critique was to pronounce damnation on the critics.

# Part Seven: Violence Against Indigenous Peoples — The Doctrine of Discovery

## 7.1 The Papal Bulls of the Age of Exploration

The Roman Catholic Church provided the theological and legal framework for the European colonization of the Americas, Africa, and Asia through a series of papal documents collectively known as the Doctrine of Discovery. These documents explicitly authorized the conquest, enslavement, and dispossession of non-Christian peoples on the basis of their religion.

Pope Nicholas V's bull Dum Diversas (1452 CE) authorized King Alfonso V of Portugal to "invade, search out, capture, vanquish, and subdue all Saracens and pagans whatsoever, and other enemies of Christ wheresoever placed" and to "reduce their persons to perpetual slavery." The subsequent bull Romanus Pontifex (1455 CE) extended this grant and is the foundational document of the transatlantic slave trade's ecclesiastical legitimation.

Pope Alexander VI's Inter Caetera (1493 CE), issued following Columbus's first voyage, divided the non-Christian world between Spain and Portugal, granting sovereignty over newly discovered lands on the condition that the indigenous peoples be "led to embrace the Christian faith." The document explicitly subordinates the rights of non-Christian peoples to the missionary ambitions of Christian monarchs:

> *We... give, grant, and assign to you and your heirs and successors, kings of Castile and Leon, forever... all and singular the aforesaid countries and islands thus unknown and hitherto discovered by your envoys and to be discovered hereafter, provided however they at no time have been in the actual temporal dominion of any Christian owner.*
>
> — Pope Alexander VI, Inter Caetera, May 4, 1493. Frances Gardiner Davenport, ed., European Treaties Bearing on the History of the United States and Its Dependencies to 1648 (Washington: Carnegie Institution, 1917), pp. 75–78.

The phrase "not in the actual temporal dominion of any Christian owner" is the legal definition of terra nullius as applied by the Church: lands inhabited by non-Christians were legally empty, their peoples having no rights recognizable by Christian law. This doctrine,

explicitly endorsed and promulgated by the papacy, provided the theological-legal foundation for centuries of dispossession.

## 7.2 The Encomienda, the Mission System, and Enslavement

In practice, the Doctrine of Discovery authorized the encomienda system in Spanish America — the assignment of indigenous labor to Spanish colonists — and the mission system, in which indigenous peoples were concentrated in mission communities under Franciscan, Dominican, and Jesuit control, required to perform labor, and subjected to corporal punishment for infractions including failure to attend mass.

Bartolomé de las Casas, himself a Dominican friar, documented the atrocities committed by Spanish colonizers against indigenous populations in his Brevísima relación de la destrucción de las Indias (1542 CE). Las Casas described systematic massacres, torture, mutilation, and enslavement. His testimony, addressed to the Spanish Crown, includes specifics: "The Christians, with their horses and swords and pikes began to carry out massacres and strange cruelties against [the people of Hispaniola]... They attacked the towns and spared neither the children nor the aged nor pregnant women nor women in childbed... They tore the babes from their mothers' breast by the feet, and dashed their heads against the rocks." (Las Casas, A Short Account of the Destruction of the Indies, 1542, trans. Nigel Griffin, 1992, pp. 14–15.)

Las Casas was exceptional in speaking out. The institutional Church was not. The encomienda system had ecclesiastical blessing; the Inquisition operated in the Americas; and missions used forced labor.

## 7.3 The Vatican's 2023 Repudiation — And Its Limits

In March 2023, the Vatican formally repudiated the Doctrine of Discovery, stating that the relevant papal documents "did not adequately reflect the equal dignity and rights of indigenous peoples" and were "manipulated for political purposes." This repudiation, while historically significant, was accompanied by the acknowledgment that the documents were not ex cathedra pronouncements and therefore did not fall under the dogma of infallibility.

The limitation of this repudiation is that it addressed the political use of the documents rather than the institutional responsibility of the papacy that issued them. Nicholas V, Alexander VI, and Julius II were not passive victims of political manipulation; they authored documents that authorized enslavement and dispossession. The repudiation does not restore what was taken, compensate descendants, or acknowledge the scale of the resulting harm.

# Part Eight: The Clergy Sexual Abuse Crisis — Institutional Concealment

## 8.1 The Documented Pattern

The sexual abuse of minors by Catholic clergy, and the institutional concealment of that abuse by the hierarchy, constitutes the most extensively documented contemporary crime of the Roman Catholic Church. It is not a local or regional failure but a global institutional pattern documented on every continent where the Church operates.

The John Jay College of Criminal Justice report commissioned by the United States Conference of Catholic Bishops (The Nature and Scope of Sexual Abuse of Minors by Catholic Priests and Deacons in the United States, 2004) identified 4,392 priests against whom allegations had been made between 1950 and 2002, representing approximately 4% of all priests who served during that period. The report documented 10,667 individual victims, with abuse peaking in the 1970s. Subsequent research has expanded these numbers significantly.

The Pennsylvania Grand Jury Report of 2018, examining six Catholic dioceses, documented more than 300 priests who had sexually abused more than 1,000 identifiable victims across seven decades. The report's finding regarding institutional concealment was categorical: "The cover-up was sophisticated. And all the while, government officials failed to hold the Church accountable." (Pennsylvania Grand Jury Report, Supreme Court of Pennsylvania, Middle District, August 2018, p. 1.)

Similar investigations in Ireland (the Murphy Report, 2009; the Ryan Report, 2009), Australia (the Royal Commission into Institutional Responses to Child Sexual Abuse, 2017), Germany (the MHG Study, 2018), and multiple other countries have documented the same institutional pattern: abuse by clergy; knowledge by bishops; transfer of accused priests rather than reporting to civil authorities; active concealment from parishioners and law enforcement.

## 8.2 The Vatican's Institutional Role

The concealment of clergy sexual abuse was not a local episcopal failure but a policy with Vatican endorsement. The document Crimen Sollicitationis, issued by the Holy Office in

1962 and reaffirmed by Cardinal Joseph Ratzinger in 2001, required that allegations of clerical sexual misconduct be handled under the absolute secrecy of the pontifical secret — with excommunication as the penalty for disclosure. Critics argued that this instruction, applied to abuse cases, created a structural incentive for concealment.

Cardinal Bernard Law of Boston, who systematically transferred known abusers to new parishes — including serial abuser John Geoghan, who abused an estimated 130 victims — resigned in 2002 after the Boston Globe's investigative reporting exposed the pattern. Rather than facing prosecution, Law was appointed to a senior position in Rome, where he remained under Vatican protection until his death in 2017. This appointment, made by Pope John Paul II, constituted an institutional signal that protecting accused hierarchs from civil accountability remained a Vatican priority.

Pope Benedict XVI, as Cardinal Ratzinger, headed the Congregation for the Doctrine of the Faith — the institutional descendant of the Inquisition — and was responsible for handling abuse cases referred to Rome. His response to the abuse crisis, both before and after becoming Pope, has been the subject of extensive scholarly and journalistic investigation. A 2022 report commissioned by the Archdiocese of Munich found that Benedict, as Archbishop of Munich from 1977 to 1982, had been involved in decisions that allowed known abusers to continue ministry. Benedict disputed the report's specific findings while acknowledging a broader failure of leadership.

## 8.3 The Betrayal of the Vulnerable in Light of Gospel Teaching

Jesus's words about the treatment of children require no interpretive mediation: "Whoever causes one of these little ones who believe in me to sin, it would be better for him to have a great millstone fastened around his neck and to be drowned in the depth of the sea" (Matthew 18:6). The offense against victims is compounded by the institutional concealment that prioritized the reputation of the Church over the protection of children — precisely the pattern Jesus identified as the corruption of religious leadership: "They tie up heavy burdens, hard to bear, and lay them on people's shoulders, but they themselves are not willing to move them with their finger" (Matthew 23:4).

The abuse crisis is also a manifestation of the power structure that the Constantinian settlement created. An institution with the social and political authority the Catholic Church accumulated across centuries could, and systematically did, use that authority to suppress accountability. The pre-Nicene church's decentralized, voluntary, community-accountable structure would have had neither the incentive nor the institutional capacity for this kind of concealment.

# Part Nine: Doctrinal Departures — A Comparative Catalog

## 9.1 The Marian Doctrines

The Roman Catholic dogmas of the Immaculate Conception (defined by Pius IX in Ineffabilis Deus, 1854 CE) — asserting that Mary was conceived without original sin — and the Assumption of Mary (defined by Pius XII in Munificentissimus Deus, 1950 CE) — asserting that Mary was bodily taken into heaven at the end of her earthly life — have no pre-Nicene documentary support and represent post-biblical dogmatic development without patristic consensus.

The Immaculate Conception was disputed by Thomas Aquinas, the pre-eminent Catholic theologian, and by the Dominicans for centuries. It has no foundation in scripture — the term appears nowhere in the New Testament, and the genealogical texts that discuss Mary's lineage raise exegetical complexities the doctrine does not resolve. The second-century Protoevangelium of James, which contains legendary material about Mary's birth, is not a canonical text and was known to be non-apostolic in antiquity. The dogma was defined not through recovery of ancient consensus but through papal decree, exemplifying the post-conciliar claim to ex cathedra authority.

## 9.2 Clerical Celibacy as Compulsory Law

Mandatory celibacy for all Latin-rite Catholic priests is not an apostolic requirement. Peter, whom the Roman Church identifies as the first Pope, was married (Mark 1:30; Luke 4:38). Paul explicitly assumes that bishops may be husbands and fathers: "A bishop then must be blameless, the husband of one wife..." (1 Timothy 3:2). The Didache, Clement of Rome, and the Apostolic Constitutions — all pre-Nicene or near-Nicene sources — make no provision for mandatory clerical celibacy.

Mandatory celibacy for all Latin-rite priests was progressively imposed through a series of regional councils and papal decrees across the eleventh and twelfth centuries, culminating in the Second Lateran Council (1139 CE), which declared priestly marriages not merely forbidden but invalid. The motive was in significant part economic: married priests produced heirs who inherited church property, fragmenting ecclesiastical wealth. Clerical celibacy as universal law is a medieval administrative invention, not an apostolic

ordinance — and its enforcement has produced, across the centuries, the sexual dysfunction that the abuse crisis has made visible.

## 9.3 Transubstantiation

The doctrine of transubstantiation — that the bread and wine of the Eucharist become, at consecration, literally and substantially the body and blood of Christ while retaining the outward appearances of bread and wine — was defined as dogma by the Fourth Lateran Council in 1215 CE, using Aristotelian categories of substance and accident derived from scholastic philosophy.

The pre-Nicene sources attest a strongly realist understanding of the Eucharist — Ignatius of Antioch calls it "the flesh of our Savior Jesus Christ" (Epistle to the Smyrnaeans, 7.1) — but they do not employ the philosophical apparatus of Aristotelian substance theory to explain the mechanism. That apparatus was imported from Greek philosophy in the high medieval period and then defined as the only acceptable account. Alternative accounts — including those of Berengar of Tours in the eleventh century and subsequently of the entire Protestant tradition — were anathematized. The dogma thus required a specific philosophical framework, formulated in thirteenth-century scholastic terms, as a condition of orthodox belief — a requirement entirely foreign to the first three centuries of the Church.

## 9.4 Penance, Auricular Confession, and the Priestly Mediation Monopoly

The Catholic sacrament of penance — requiring private auricular confession of specific sins to a priest, priestly absolution as a condition of forgiveness, and performance of assigned penances — as a mandatory condition of reconciliation with God is not attested in pre-Nicene sources in its developed form. Early Christian communities practiced public confession and communal restoration for serious sins; private confession as the normative means of forgiveness for all sins emerged gradually and was systematized by the Fourth Lateran Council (1215 CE), which required annual confession to one's own priest.

The priestly absolution formula — "I absolve you from your sins" — claims for ordained priests a mediatorial role between the individual and God that Jesus's teaching does not support. The Sermon on the Mount does not direct those seeking forgiveness to a priestly intermediary; the Lord's Prayer (Matthew 6:9–13) is addressed directly to God. James 5:16, often cited in support of confession, reads "confess your sins to one another" — not to a priest — and has no sacerdotal mediation in view. The monopoly on forgiveness that the sacramental system claims is an institutional construction without apostolic authorization.

# Part Ten: The Index, Censorship, and the Suppression of Learning

## 10.1 The Index Librorum Prohibitorum

The Index Librorum Prohibitorum — the Index of Forbidden Books — was first published in 1559 CE under Pope Paul IV and maintained, with periodic revisions, until its abolition by Pope Paul VI in 1966 CE. It represents one of the most sustained institutional attempts to control human intellectual life in the history of Western civilization.

The Index at various times prohibited the works of Copernicus, Kepler, Galileo, Descartes, Pascal, Locke, Hume, Kant, Voltaire, Hugo, Stendhal, Balzac, and Mill, among thousands of others. These prohibitions were not advisory; Catholics who read prohibited books were subject to excommunication. The institutional claim that the Pope possessed authority to determine what all Christians might read is an assertion of total intellectual sovereignty antithetical to the human capacity for rational inquiry that the tradition of Christian humanism, including figures such as Erasmus, had sought to celebrate.

Paul's injunction in 1 Thessalonians 5:21 — "Test everything; hold fast what is good" — is incompatible with an institution that defines in advance what may be tested. The pre-Nicene apologists — Justin Martyr, Athenagoras, Origen — engaged the intellectual challenges of their day with confidence that truth would vindicate itself. The Index rests on the opposite premise: that truth is fragile and must be protected from examination.

# Part Eleven: Summary — The Structural Argument

## 11.1 The Pattern Across Seventeen Centuries

The crimes and doctrinal departures documented in this paper are not random incidents or isolated failures. They share a common structural cause: the fusion of religious authority with coercive power that the Constantinian settlement initiated and that Catholic ecclesiology subsequently systematized and theologized.

When the church possessed no coercive power — in the pre-Nicene period — it could not burn heretics, compel confession, expel Jews, authorize conquest, or suppress books. When it acquired coercive power, it did all of these things. The abuses are not accidental to the institution; they are the predictable consequence of an ecclesiology that claims total authority — spiritual and temporal — over all human affairs.

Jesus's model of the kingdom of God was explicitly non-coercive: "My kingdom is not of this world. If my kingdom were of this world, my servants would have been fighting..." (John 18:36). The church that bears his name constructed the most elaborate system of religiously legitimated coercion in Western history. The distance between the two is not a matter of interpretive nuance; it is a structural and moral chasm.

## 11.2 What Early Christianity Was Not

The pre-Nicene church was not perfect. It had its disputes, its exclusions, its intellectual failures, and its occasional violence (the treatment of Marcionites and Gnostics was often harsh by later standards). But it possessed a crucial structural limitation on its capacity for harm: it had no armies, no civil jurisdiction, no property empire, and no coercive apparatus. Its authority was purely persuasive and communal.

Tertullian expressed the pre-Nicene ecclesiology with characteristic precision: "We are a society with a common religious feeling, unity of discipline, a common bond of hope. We meet in gatherings and congregations to approach God in prayer... We offer prayer, also, for the emperors... We pray for a general postponement of the end, for favorable conditions for peace... but we do not wish to pass our lives in this world without anyone knowing us. How can that be the case with men who are known to God?" (Tertullian, Apologeticus, 39.1–5, trans. T.R. Glover, 1931.)

This was not weakness or political naivety. It was a principled rejection of the equation of the kingdom of God with the kingdoms of this world — the very equation that Constantine offered and the Catholic bishops accepted.

## 11.3 The Responsibility of Historical Memory

The Roman Catholic Church today, under Pope Francis, has moved toward greater acknowledgment of historical wrongs than its predecessors. Formal apologies have been issued for the Inquisition (Pope John Paul II, 2000), for the treatment of Galileo (John Paul II, 1992), and for the Doctrine of Discovery (Vatican, 2023). These acknowledgments are not meaningless.

But acknowledgment without structural reformation addresses symptoms rather than causes. The institutional apparatus that produced the Inquisition — centralized authority, claimed infallibility, suppression of dissent — remains intact. The doctrine of papal supremacy that justified centuries of coercion is unchanged. The clerical system that produced and concealed abuse has been reformed procedurally but not structurally.

The honest reckoning this paper attempts is not a call for the abolition of Catholic Christianity or of Christian faith. It is a call for the same standard that Jesus applied to the religious authorities of his own day: to examine institutional practice against the teachings it claims to embody, without the deference that power demands. "You will know them by their fruits" (Matthew 7:16). The fruits documented here are the record.

# Selected Bibliography

## Primary Sources

Aquinas, Thomas. Summa Theologica. Trans. Fathers of the English Dominican Province. New York: Benziger Bros., 1948.

Augustine of Hippo. City of God [De Civitate Dei]. Trans. Henry Bettenson. London: Penguin, 1984.

Caesar of Heisterbach. Dialogus Miraculorum. Ed. Joseph Strange. Cologne: Heberle, 1851.

Cyprian of Carthage. De Unitate Ecclesiae [On the Unity of the Church]. In Ante-Nicene Fathers, Vol. 5. Ed. Philip Schaff. New York: Christian Literature Publishing, 1886.

Eusebius of Caesarea. Historia Ecclesiastica [Ecclesiastical History]. Trans. Paul L. Maier. Grand Rapids: Kregel, 1999.

Galilei, Galileo. Abjuration of 1633. In Finocchiaro, Maurice A., ed. The Galileo Affair: A Documentary History. Berkeley: University of California Press, 1989.

Gesta Francorum et Aliorum Hierosolimitanorum. Trans. Rosalind Hill. London: Thomas Nelson, 1962.

Gui, Bernard. Practica Inquisitionis Heretice Pravitatis. Trans. Walter Wakefield. In Wakefield, Heresy, Crusade and Inquisition in Southern France, 1974.

Ignatius of Antioch. Epistle to the Smyrnaeans. In Ante-Nicene Fathers, Vol. 1. Ed. Philip Schaff. New York: Christian Literature Publishing, 1885.

Innocent IV. Ad extirpanda (1252 CE). In Lea, Henry Charles. A History of the Inquisition of the Middle Ages. Vol. 1. New York: Harper, 1888.

Justin Martyr. First Apology. In Ante-Nicene Fathers, Vol. 1. Ed. Philip Schaff. New York: Christian Literature Publishing, 1885.

Las Casas, Bartolomé de. A Short Account of the Destruction of the Indies [Brevísima relación de la destrucción de las Indias, 1542]. Trans. Nigel Griffin. London: Penguin, 1992.

Nicholas V. Dum Diversas (1452 CE). Trans. Frances Gardiner Davenport. In European Treaties Bearing on the History of the United States. Washington: Carnegie Institution, 1917.

Niketas Choniates. O City of Byzantium, Annals of Niketas Choniates. Trans. Harry J. Magoulias. Detroit: Wayne State University Press, 1984.

Origen of Alexandria. Contra Celsum. Trans. Henry Chadwick. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1953.

Pius IX. Ineffabilis Deus (1854 CE). Vatican City: Holy See.

Pius XII. Munificentissimus Deus (1950 CE). Vatican City: Holy See.

Pope Alexander VI. Inter Caetera (1493 CE). Trans. Frances Gardiner Davenport. In European Treaties Bearing on the History of the United States. Washington: Carnegie Institution, 1917.

Raymond of Aguilers. Historia Francorum Qui Ceperunt Iherusalem. Trans. John Hugh Hill and Laurita L. Hill. Philadelphia: American Philosophical Society, 1968.

Solomon bar Simson. Chronicle of the First Crusade. Trans. Shlomo Eidelberg. In The Jews and the Crusaders. Madison: University of Wisconsin Press, 1977.

Tertullian. Apologeticus. Trans. T.R. Glover. Loeb Classical Library. Cambridge: Harvard University Press, 1931.

Tertullian. Ad Scapulam. In Ante-Nicene Fathers, Vol. 3. Ed. Philip Schaff. New York: Christian Literature Publishing, 1885.

The Didache [Teaching of the Twelve Apostles]. Trans. Michael W. Holmes. In The Apostolic Fathers: Greek Texts and English Translations. Grand Rapids: Baker Academic, 2007.

First Vatican Council. Pastor Aeternus (1870 CE). Vatican City: Holy See.

Fourth Lateran Council. Canons (1215 CE). Trans. H.J. Schroeder. In Disciplinary Decrees of the General Councils. St. Louis: Herder, 1937.

Valla, Lorenzo. De Falso Credita et Ementita Constantini Donatione [On the Donation of Constantine, 1440 CE]. Trans. G.W. Bowersock. Cambridge: Harvard University Press, 2007.

## Secondary Sources

Bohmer, Heinrich. Road to Reformation: Martin Luther to the Year 1521. Philadelphia: Muhlenberg Press, 1946.

Davenport, Frances Gardiner, ed. European Treaties Bearing on the History of the United States and Its Dependencies to 1648. Washington: Carnegie Institution, 1917.

Eidelberg, Shlomo. The Jews and the Crusaders: The Hebrew Chronicles of the First and Second Crusades. Madison: University of Wisconsin Press, 1977.

Finocchiaro, Maurice A. The Galileo Affair: A Documentary History. Berkeley: University of California Press, 1989.

John Jay College of Criminal Justice. The Nature and Scope of Sexual Abuse of Minors by Catholic Priests and Deacons in the United States, 1950–2002. Washington: United States Conference of Catholic Bishops, 2004.

Kertzer, David I. The Pope at War: The Secret History of Pius XII, Mussolini, and Hitler. New York: Random House, 2022.

Lea, Henry Charles. A History of the Inquisition of the Middle Ages. 3 vols. New York: Harper, 1888.

Pennsylvania Office of Attorney General. Report of the Fortieth Statewide Investigating Grand Jury. Supreme Court of Pennsylvania, Middle District, 2018.

Schroeder, H.J., O.P. Disciplinary Decrees of the General Councils: Text, Translation, and Commentary. St. Louis: B. Herder, 1937.

Wakefield, Walter L. Heresy, Crusade and Inquisition in Southern France, 1100–1250. Berkeley: University of California Press, 1974.

Yoder, John Howard. The Original Revolution: Essays on Christian Pacifism. Scottdale: Herald Press, 1971.

Yoder, John Howard. The Politics of Jesus. Grand Rapids: Eerdmans, 1972.